

**JENNI WILLIAMS AND CHRIS OXTOBY:
A chance to give new life to gender body**

2012/01/26



ALMOST two decades into democracy, gender inequality remains pervasive and damaging to SA's development and the wellbeing of its people. On the economic front, 60% of unemployed people are women. When they are employed, women earn on average 20% less than men. Women continue to bear the primary responsibility for raising children and spend an average of three-and-a-half hours more a day than men on domestic chores. Alongside unacceptably high levels of gender-based violence, there can be no doubt that the effect of such power disparities undermines the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

For much of the past decade, the work of the Commission on Gender Equality, the chapter nine institution mandated to promote gender equality, has been hampered by serious institutional problems, organisational conflicts and a failure to act strategically. In 2007-08 and 2008-09, the commission received qualified reports from the auditor-general and in 2010 was called by Parliament's standing committee on public accounts to explain its financial affairs.

The "Kader Asmal" report on the review of chapter nine and associated institutions in 2007 lamented that the commission had failed to effectively promote gender equality and criticised its failing to meet the mandate of building relationships with civil society and other chapter nine institutions, its reticence to use its subpoena and other powers, and its reluctance to take public positions on issues pertinent to gender equality.

Last year, however, there were signs of progress. While serious management concerns remain, the commission received an unqualified audit for 2009-10. It held provincial civil society consultations on its strategy, for the first time in a number of years.

This week, the ad hoc parliamentary committee on the commission will decide whether such trends continue: interviews will be conducted to replace nine commissioners. The choice of appointees will be decisive for the commission's future.

In short-listing candidates for interviews, members used the following criteria: a full understanding of gender equality at community level; the necessary administrative and management skills; continuity — hence second-term commissioners should be considered; passion for the promotion of gender equality; and the ability to interact with communities.

Alongside these criteria, the Commission on Gender Equality Act also specifies that commissioners must have a record of commitment to promoting gender equality, applicable

knowledge and experience regarding the objects of the commission, and must be independent and perform their functions in good faith without fear, favour, bias or prejudice.

We expand on two of these criteria.

Record of commitment: Given that the commission's envisioned role is to protect, promote and entrench values of gender equality, commissioners' track records should demonstrate outspokenness on gender justice and a willingness to challenge cultural or religious practices that undermine women.

In a context in which violent crimes against lesbians have gone unabated, this should include an unequivocal commitment to freedom of sexual orientation and identity.

It should also include a demonstrated ability to form partnerships with a wide range of stakeholders, at all levels.

Applicable knowledge and experience: Besides relevant training and qualifications, commissioners should have extensive work experience in promoting gender equality, preferably in a range of different contexts.

Knowledge that should be tested includes familiarity with the relevant policies and legislation, international treaties and the statutory framework that governs the commission.

Given the recent extent of financial mismanagement within the institution, it is imperative that chosen candidates are familiar with the Public Finance Management Act.

Stellenbosch University professor Amanda Gouws has noted that "the (commission) is unique in its monitoring capacity and no other women's organisation alone can fulfil (its) function". To appoint commissioners who do not meet the required criteria would be a betrayal of SA's women and the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

- Williams is director of the Women's Legal Centre. Oxtoby is a researcher at the Democratic Governance and Rights Unit at the University of Cape Town.

**JENNI WILLIAMS AND CHRIS OXTOBY:
A chance to give new life to gender body**

2012/01/26



ALMOST two decades into democracy, gender inequality remains pervasive and damaging to SA's development and the wellbeing of its people. On the economic front, 60% of unemployed people are women. When they are employed, women earn on average 20% less than men. Women continue to bear the primary responsibility for raising children and spend an average of three-and-a-half hours more a day than men on domestic chores. Alongside unacceptably high levels of gender-based violence, there can be no doubt that the effect of such power disparities undermines the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

For much of the past decade, the work of the Commission on Gender Equality, the chapter nine institution mandated to promote gender equality, has been hampered by serious institutional problems, organisational conflicts and a failure to act strategically. In 2007-08 and 2008-09, the commission received qualified reports from the auditor-general and in 2010 was called by Parliament's standing committee on public accounts to explain its financial affairs.

The "Kader Asmal" report on the review of chapter nine and associated institutions in 2007 lamented that the commission had failed to effectively promote gender equality and criticised its failing to meet the mandate of building relationships with civil society and other chapter nine institutions, its reticence to use its subpoena and other powers, and its reluctance to take public positions on issues pertinent to gender equality.

Last year, however, there were signs of progress. While serious management concerns remain, the commission received an unqualified audit for 2009-10. It held provincial civil society consultations on its strategy, for the first time in a number of years.

This week, the ad hoc parliamentary committee on the commission will decide whether such trends continue: interviews will be conducted to replace nine commissioners. The choice of appointees will be decisive for the commission's future.

In short-listing candidates for interviews, members used the following criteria: a full understanding of gender equality at community level; the necessary administrative and management skills; continuity — hence second-term commissioners should be considered; passion for the promotion of gender equality; and the ability to interact with communities.

Alongside these criteria, the Commission on Gender Equality Act also specifies that commissioners must have a record of commitment to promoting gender equality, applicable

knowledge and experience regarding the objects of the commission, and must be independent and perform their functions in good faith without fear, favour, bias or prejudice.

We expand on two of these criteria.

Record of commitment: Given that the commission's envisioned role is to protect, promote and entrench values of gender equality, commissioners' track records should demonstrate outspokenness on gender justice and a willingness to challenge cultural or religious practices that undermine women.

In a context in which violent crimes against lesbians have gone unabated, this should include an unequivocal commitment to freedom of sexual orientation and identity.

It should also include a demonstrated ability to form partnerships with a wide range of stakeholders, at all levels.

Applicable knowledge and experience: Besides relevant training and qualifications, commissioners should have extensive work experience in promoting gender equality, preferably in a range of different contexts.

Knowledge that should be tested includes familiarity with the relevant policies and legislation, international treaties and the statutory framework that governs the commission.

Given the recent extent of financial mismanagement within the institution, it is imperative that chosen candidates are familiar with the Public Finance Management Act.

Stellenbosch University professor Amanda Gouws has noted that "the (commission) is unique in its monitoring capacity and no other women's organisation alone can fulfil (its) function". To appoint commissioners who do not meet the required criteria would be a betrayal of SA's women and the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

- Williams is director of the Women's Legal Centre. Oxtoby is a researcher at the Democratic Governance and Rights Unit at the University of Cape Town.

**JENNI WILLIAMS AND CHRIS OXTOBY:
A chance to give new life to gender body**

2012/01/26



ALMOST two decades into democracy, gender inequality remains pervasive and damaging to SA's development and the wellbeing of its people. On the economic front, 60% of unemployed people are women. When they are employed, women earn on average 20% less than men. Women continue to bear the primary responsibility for raising children and spend an average of three-and-a-half hours more a day than men on domestic chores. Alongside unacceptably high levels of gender-based violence, there can be no doubt that the effect of such power disparities undermines the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

For much of the past decade, the work of the Commission on Gender Equality, the chapter nine institution mandated to promote gender equality, has been hampered by serious institutional problems, organisational conflicts and a failure to act strategically. In 2007-08 and 2008-09, the commission received qualified reports from the auditor-general and in 2010 was called by Parliament's standing committee on public accounts to explain its financial affairs.

The "Kader Asmal" report on the review of chapter nine and associated institutions in 2007 lamented that the commission had failed to effectively promote gender equality and criticised its failing to meet the mandate of building relationships with civil society and other chapter nine institutions, its reticence to use its subpoena and other powers, and its reluctance to take public positions on issues pertinent to gender equality.

Last year, however, there were signs of progress. While serious management concerns remain, the commission received an unqualified audit for 2009-10. It held provincial civil society consultations on its strategy, for the first time in a number of years.

This week, the ad hoc parliamentary committee on the commission will decide whether such trends continue: interviews will be conducted to replace nine commissioners. The choice of appointees will be decisive for the commission's future.

In short-listing candidates for interviews, members used the following criteria: a full understanding of gender equality at community level; the necessary administrative and management skills; continuity — hence second-term commissioners should be considered; passion for the promotion of gender equality; and the ability to interact with communities.

Alongside these criteria, the Commission on Gender Equality Act also specifies that commissioners must have a record of commitment to promoting gender equality, applicable

knowledge and experience regarding the objects of the commission, and must be independent and perform their functions in good faith without fear, favour, bias or prejudice.

We expand on two of these criteria.

Record of commitment: Given that the commission's envisioned role is to protect, promote and entrench values of gender equality, commissioners' track records should demonstrate outspokenness on gender justice and a willingness to challenge cultural or religious practices that undermine women.

In a context in which violent crimes against lesbians have gone unabated, this should include an unequivocal commitment to freedom of sexual orientation and identity.

It should also include a demonstrated ability to form partnerships with a wide range of stakeholders, at all levels.

Applicable knowledge and experience: Besides relevant training and qualifications, commissioners should have extensive work experience in promoting gender equality, preferably in a range of different contexts.

Knowledge that should be tested includes familiarity with the relevant policies and legislation, international treaties and the statutory framework that governs the commission.

Given the recent extent of financial mismanagement within the institution, it is imperative that chosen candidates are familiar with the Public Finance Management Act.

Stellenbosch University professor Amanda Gouws has noted that "the (commission) is unique in its monitoring capacity and no other women's organisation alone can fulfil (its) function". To appoint commissioners who do not meet the required criteria would be a betrayal of SA's women and the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

- Williams is director of the Women's Legal Centre. Oxtoby is a researcher at the Democratic Governance and Rights Unit at the University of Cape Town.

**JENNI WILLIAMS AND CHRIS OXTOBY:
A chance to give new life to gender body**

2012/01/26



ALMOST two decades into democracy, gender inequality remains pervasive and damaging to SA's development and the wellbeing of its people. On the economic front, 60% of unemployed people are women. When they are employed, women earn on average 20% less than men. Women continue to bear the primary responsibility for raising children and spend an average of three-and-a-half hours more a day than men on domestic chores. Alongside unacceptably high levels of gender-based violence, there can be no doubt that the effect of such power disparities undermines the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

For much of the past decade, the work of the Commission on Gender Equality, the chapter nine institution mandated to promote gender equality, has been hampered by serious institutional problems, organisational conflicts and a failure to act strategically. In 2007-08 and 2008-09, the commission received qualified reports from the auditor-general and in 2010 was called by Parliament's standing committee on public accounts to explain its financial affairs.

The "Kader Asmal" report on the review of chapter nine and associated institutions in 2007 lamented that the commission had failed to effectively promote gender equality and criticised its failing to meet the mandate of building relationships with civil society and other chapter nine institutions, its reticence to use its subpoena and other powers, and its reluctance to take public positions on issues pertinent to gender equality.

Last year, however, there were signs of progress. While serious management concerns remain, the commission received an unqualified audit for 2009-10. It held provincial civil society consultations on its strategy, for the first time in a number of years.

This week, the ad hoc parliamentary committee on the commission will decide whether such trends continue: interviews will be conducted to replace nine commissioners. The choice of appointees will be decisive for the commission's future.

In short-listing candidates for interviews, members used the following criteria: a full understanding of gender equality at community level; the necessary administrative and management skills; continuity — hence second-term commissioners should be considered; passion for the promotion of gender equality; and the ability to interact with communities.

Alongside these criteria, the Commission on Gender Equality Act also specifies that commissioners must have a record of commitment to promoting gender equality, applicable

knowledge and experience regarding the objects of the commission, and must be independent and perform their functions in good faith without fear, favour, bias or prejudice.

We expand on two of these criteria.

Record of commitment: Given that the commission's envisioned role is to protect, promote and entrench values of gender equality, commissioners' track records should demonstrate outspokenness on gender justice and a willingness to challenge cultural or religious practices that undermine women.

In a context in which violent crimes against lesbians have gone unabated, this should include an unequivocal commitment to freedom of sexual orientation and identity.

It should also include a demonstrated ability to form partnerships with a wide range of stakeholders, at all levels.

Applicable knowledge and experience: Besides relevant training and qualifications, commissioners should have extensive work experience in promoting gender equality, preferably in a range of different contexts.

Knowledge that should be tested includes familiarity with the relevant policies and legislation, international treaties and the statutory framework that governs the commission.

Given the recent extent of financial mismanagement within the institution, it is imperative that chosen candidates are familiar with the Public Finance Management Act.

Stellenbosch University professor Amanda Gouws has noted that "the (commission) is unique in its monitoring capacity and no other women's organisation alone can fulfil (its) function". To appoint commissioners who do not meet the required criteria would be a betrayal of SA's women and the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

- Williams is director of the Women's Legal Centre. Oxtoby is a researcher at the Democratic Governance and Rights Unit at the University of Cape Town.

**JENNI WILLIAMS AND CHRIS OXTOBY:
A chance to give new life to gender body**

2012/01/26



ALMOST two decades into democracy, gender inequality remains pervasive and damaging to SA's development and the wellbeing of its people. On the economic front, 60% of unemployed people are women. When they are employed, women earn on average 20% less than men. Women continue to bear the primary responsibility for raising children and spend an average of three-and-a-half hours more a day than men on domestic chores. Alongside unacceptably high levels of gender-based violence, there can be no doubt that the effect of such power disparities undermines the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

For much of the past decade, the work of the Commission on Gender Equality, the chapter nine institution mandated to promote gender equality, has been hampered by serious institutional problems, organisational conflicts and a failure to act strategically. In 2007-08 and 2008-09, the commission received qualified reports from the auditor-general and in 2010 was called by Parliament's standing committee on public accounts to explain its financial affairs.

The "Kader Asmal" report on the review of chapter nine and associated institutions in 2007 lamented that the commission had failed to effectively promote gender equality and criticised its failing to meet the mandate of building relationships with civil society and other chapter nine institutions, its reticence to use its subpoena and other powers, and its reluctance to take public positions on issues pertinent to gender equality.

Last year, however, there were signs of progress. While serious management concerns remain, the commission received an unqualified audit for 2009-10. It held provincial civil society consultations on its strategy, for the first time in a number of years.

This week, the ad hoc parliamentary committee on the commission will decide whether such trends continue: interviews will be conducted to replace nine commissioners. The choice of appointees will be decisive for the commission's future.

In short-listing candidates for interviews, members used the following criteria: a full understanding of gender equality at community level; the necessary administrative and management skills; continuity — hence second-term commissioners should be considered; passion for the promotion of gender equality; and the ability to interact with communities.

Alongside these criteria, the Commission on Gender Equality Act also specifies that commissioners must have a record of commitment to promoting gender equality, applicable

knowledge and experience regarding the objects of the commission, and must be independent and perform their functions in good faith without fear, favour, bias or prejudice.

We expand on two of these criteria.

Record of commitment: Given that the commission's envisioned role is to protect, promote and entrench values of gender equality, commissioners' track records should demonstrate outspokenness on gender justice and a willingness to challenge cultural or religious practices that undermine women.

In a context in which violent crimes against lesbians have gone unabated, this should include an unequivocal commitment to freedom of sexual orientation and identity.

It should also include a demonstrated ability to form partnerships with a wide range of stakeholders, at all levels.

Applicable knowledge and experience: Besides relevant training and qualifications, commissioners should have extensive work experience in promoting gender equality, preferably in a range of different contexts.

Knowledge that should be tested includes familiarity with the relevant policies and legislation, international treaties and the statutory framework that governs the commission.

Given the recent extent of financial mismanagement within the institution, it is imperative that chosen candidates are familiar with the Public Finance Management Act.

Stellenbosch University professor Amanda Gouws has noted that "the (commission) is unique in its monitoring capacity and no other women's organisation alone can fulfil (its) function". To appoint commissioners who do not meet the required criteria would be a betrayal of SA's women and the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

- Williams is director of the Women's Legal Centre. Oxtoby is a researcher at the Democratic Governance and Rights Unit at the University of Cape Town.

**JENNI WILLIAMS AND CHRIS OXTOBY:
A chance to give new life to gender body**

2012/01/26



ALMOST two decades into democracy, gender inequality remains pervasive and damaging to SA's development and the wellbeing of its people. On the economic front, 60% of unemployed people are women. When they are employed, women earn on average 20% less than men. Women continue to bear the primary responsibility for raising children and spend an average of three-and-a-half hours more a day than men on domestic chores. Alongside unacceptably high levels of gender-based violence, there can be no doubt that the effect of such power disparities undermines the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

For much of the past decade, the work of the Commission on Gender Equality, the chapter nine institution mandated to promote gender equality, has been hampered by serious institutional problems, organisational conflicts and a failure to act strategically. In 2007-08 and 2008-09, the commission received qualified reports from the auditor-general and in 2010 was called by Parliament's standing committee on public accounts to explain its financial affairs.

The "Kader Asmal" report on the review of chapter nine and associated institutions in 2007 lamented that the commission had failed to effectively promote gender equality and criticised its failing to meet the mandate of building relationships with civil society and other chapter nine institutions, its reticence to use its subpoena and other powers, and its reluctance to take public positions on issues pertinent to gender equality.

Last year, however, there were signs of progress. While serious management concerns remain, the commission received an unqualified audit for 2009-10. It held provincial civil society consultations on its strategy, for the first time in a number of years.

This week, the ad hoc parliamentary committee on the commission will decide whether such trends continue: interviews will be conducted to replace nine commissioners. The choice of appointees will be decisive for the commission's future.

In short-listing candidates for interviews, members used the following criteria: a full understanding of gender equality at community level; the necessary administrative and management skills; continuity — hence second-term commissioners should be considered; passion for the promotion of gender equality; and the ability to interact with communities.

Alongside these criteria, the Commission on Gender Equality Act also specifies that commissioners must have a record of commitment to promoting gender equality, applicable

knowledge and experience regarding the objects of the commission, and must be independent and perform their functions in good faith without fear, favour, bias or prejudice.

We expand on two of these criteria.

Record of commitment: Given that the commission's envisioned role is to protect, promote and entrench values of gender equality, commissioners' track records should demonstrate outspokenness on gender justice and a willingness to challenge cultural or religious practices that undermine women.

In a context in which violent crimes against lesbians have gone unabated, this should include an unequivocal commitment to freedom of sexual orientation and identity.

It should also include a demonstrated ability to form partnerships with a wide range of stakeholders, at all levels.

Applicable knowledge and experience: Besides relevant training and qualifications, commissioners should have extensive work experience in promoting gender equality, preferably in a range of different contexts.

Knowledge that should be tested includes familiarity with the relevant policies and legislation, international treaties and the statutory framework that governs the commission.

Given the recent extent of financial mismanagement within the institution, it is imperative that chosen candidates are familiar with the Public Finance Management Act.

Stellenbosch University professor Amanda Gouws has noted that "the (commission) is unique in its monitoring capacity and no other women's organisation alone can fulfil (its) function". To appoint commissioners who do not meet the required criteria would be a betrayal of SA's women and the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

- Williams is director of the Women's Legal Centre. Oxtoby is a researcher at the Democratic Governance and Rights Unit at the University of Cape Town.

**JENNI WILLIAMS AND CHRIS OXTOBY:
A chance to give new life to gender body**

2012/01/26



ALMOST two decades into democracy, gender inequality remains pervasive and damaging to SA's development and the wellbeing of its people. On the economic front, 60% of unemployed people are women. When they are employed, women earn on average 20% less than men. Women continue to bear the primary responsibility for raising children and spend an average of three-and-a-half hours more a day than men on domestic chores. Alongside unacceptably high levels of gender-based violence, there can be no doubt that the effect of such power disparities undermines the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

For much of the past decade, the work of the Commission on Gender Equality, the chapter nine institution mandated to promote gender equality, has been hampered by serious institutional problems, organisational conflicts and a failure to act strategically. In 2007-08 and 2008-09, the commission received qualified reports from the auditor-general and in 2010 was called by Parliament's standing committee on public accounts to explain its financial affairs.

The "Kader Asmal" report on the review of chapter nine and associated institutions in 2007 lamented that the commission had failed to effectively promote gender equality and criticised its failing to meet the mandate of building relationships with civil society and other chapter nine institutions, its reticence to use its subpoena and other powers, and its reluctance to take public positions on issues pertinent to gender equality.

Last year, however, there were signs of progress. While serious management concerns remain, the commission received an unqualified audit for 2009-10. It held provincial civil society consultations on its strategy, for the first time in a number of years.

This week, the ad hoc parliamentary committee on the commission will decide whether such trends continue: interviews will be conducted to replace nine commissioners. The choice of appointees will be decisive for the commission's future.

In short-listing candidates for interviews, members used the following criteria: a full understanding of gender equality at community level; the necessary administrative and management skills; continuity — hence second-term commissioners should be considered; passion for the promotion of gender equality; and the ability to interact with communities.

Alongside these criteria, the Commission on Gender Equality Act also specifies that commissioners must have a record of commitment to promoting gender equality, applicable

knowledge and experience regarding the objects of the commission, and must be independent and perform their functions in good faith without fear, favour, bias or prejudice.

We expand on two of these criteria.

Record of commitment: Given that the commission's envisioned role is to protect, promote and entrench values of gender equality, commissioners' track records should demonstrate outspokenness on gender justice and a willingness to challenge cultural or religious practices that undermine women.

In a context in which violent crimes against lesbians have gone unabated, this should include an unequivocal commitment to freedom of sexual orientation and identity.

It should also include a demonstrated ability to form partnerships with a wide range of stakeholders, at all levels.

Applicable knowledge and experience: Besides relevant training and qualifications, commissioners should have extensive work experience in promoting gender equality, preferably in a range of different contexts.

Knowledge that should be tested includes familiarity with the relevant policies and legislation, international treaties and the statutory framework that governs the commission.

Given the recent extent of financial mismanagement within the institution, it is imperative that chosen candidates are familiar with the Public Finance Management Act.

Stellenbosch University professor Amanda Gouws has noted that "the (commission) is unique in its monitoring capacity and no other women's organisation alone can fulfil (its) function". To appoint commissioners who do not meet the required criteria would be a betrayal of SA's women and the dream of a prosperous and peaceful nation.

- Williams is director of the Women's Legal Centre. Oxtoby is a researcher at the Democratic Governance and Rights Unit at the University of Cape Town.